21 FEBRUARY 1976

## House Moves Against Schorr Raise the Issue

## of Freedom of the Press

recorded to the report until it had been no strings were cause he leaked a secret Congressional report to The Willows Schorz obtained a corn of the report until it had been to accept the money—so far there has been no cash interest to the report until it had been volved—if no strings were a corn of the report until it had been volved—if no strings were a corn of the report until it had been volved—if no strings were a corn of the report until it had been volved—if no strings were a corn of the report until it had been volved—if no strings were a corn of the report until it had been volved—if no strings were a corn of the report until it had been volved—if no strings were a corn of the report until it had been volved—if no strings were a corn of the report until it had been volved—if no strings were a corn of the report until it had been volved—if no strings were a corn of the report until it had been volved—if no strings were a corn of the report until it had been volved—if no strings were a corn of the report until it had been volved—if no s

At the s maetime, the Justice and radio. Department was studying wheligence message codes.

If the House conducts a full The Voice. investigation it will be the first Yesterda

press.

that he provided the Congres- press. sional report to The Village Mr. Voice. In its Feb. 16 issue The

ther the reporter, Daniel Schorr keep the report secret, Mr. it, so that is the paper he gave of CBS News, his news source Schorr, has said, he realized and The Village Voice had viothat he might have the only letted Foderal aspingage law in lated Federal espionage law in copy of it outside the Govern-leaking the report, on the ground that the leak allegedly responsible for supressing the the latest one being in a compromised American intel-report," he said, in explaining column in The Washington why he made it available to Post by Charles B. Seib in

ter in more than 100 years of New York, asked that Mr.

If the Justice Department de-Schorr's Congressional press danger in journalism." If the Justice Department as credentials be revoked for what cides to prosecute it will raise again many of the issues, inhe called the reporter's "connews" rather than the constiin—as Mr. Schorr is in this,
tuttional one involving the efissues, that were raised in the
report. Without such credenissues, that were raised in the
report. Without such credenissues, that were raised in the
report. Without such credenissues, that were raised in the
report. Without such credeniter that he is actually involved
news" rather than the constiin—as Mr. Schorr is in this,
tuttional one involving the
forts of Congress and perhaps
forts of Congress and perhaps
to reveal the source that gave
the Pentagon papers.

The Pentagon papers are reporter for reporthim the report in the first
place, and it was supporting cides to prosecute it will raise credentials be revoked for what Whatever happens legally press galleries because of an the case has already raised action that some legal experts some ethical questions for the feel would in itself be in violation of the First Amendment Mr. Schorr has acknowledged guaranteeing freedom of the

Walsh, in a letter to Mr. House Speaker Carl Albert and Voice printed a 24-page supple- to Senator Howard W. Cannon, ment of excerpts from the re-port entitled, "The Report On of the Senate Rules Committee, The C.I.A. That President Ford said that Mr. Schorr "over-Doesn't Want You To Read." stepped the bounds of a free In its Feb. 23 issue The Voice press and has violated the code printed a special 10-page sector of ethics observed by all profestion headed, "How Ford, Kissional journalists." Mr. Cannon

said that he should have his credentials withdrawn, rather than be held on contempt of Congress, because Mr. Schorr would only ear the contempt citation like a medal of honor.

On Thursday Representative Samuel S. Stratton, Democrat of New York, introduced introduced resolution calling on the House's ethics committee to investigate the leak of the report. The resolution passed by a vite of 269 to 115, and hearlings were scheduled for next Tuesday.

The First Amendment issues involved in the attack on Mr. Schorr have been obscured by the fact that Mr. Schorr's first idea was to publish the report in book form. Since, he said, he did not want to make any profit on what he conceived to be his journalistic duty, he offered to turn over any profits received from publication of the full Congressional report to the Reporters

By MARTIN ARNOLD singer and the C.I.A. Obstruct-Committee for Freedom of the ed the House Probe."

A demand was made in the On Ton 20 On

report to The Village report and used information congressional report in book parently wanted to print the report and used information congressional report in book from it in reporting for CBS-TV form, so Mr. Schorr offered it When the House voted to Voice, however, agreed to print

> This led to attacks on both Representative which Mr. Seib says that Mr.

prosecute a reporter for reporting. Yesterday the reporters blace, and it was supporting it was putting together a "detailed chronology" of its intalled chronology" of its intalled chronology of its intalled chronology. The control of the report for reports that were used by CBS radio and television. It was not this would be reade attribute saving however what are the control of the report for respect to the control of the report for respect to the control of the report for respect to the control of the report in the first place, and it was supporting the report for report in the first place, and it was supporting the report for report in the first place, and it was supporting the report in the first place, and it was supporting the report in the first place, and it was supporting the report for report in the first place, and it was supporting the report for report for report in the first place, and it was supporting the report for report for

sional anger over his leaking the report was because of what he called "the secrecy back-lash."

He said he was "disappointed in not being able to convey to other journalists the idea that we—all journalists—are lengaged in the same battle for press freedom."
"If they can hold me in con-

tempt," he went on, "or have me charged with a crime, then they can get any reporter next; week. That's the real issue, in all this."

In 1848, the Senate cited a reporter named John Nugent for publishing a treaty before the Senate had held secret harings on it, and in 1870 a New York Evening Post reporter, W. Scott Smith, was cited for contempt of Congress for writing that a Nevada Congressman, Thomas Fitch, might have accepted a bribe.

Mr. Schorr's employer, CBS, announced yesterday that Mr. Schorr had been taken off the investigation it will be the first. Yesterday, Representative Which Mr. Seib says that Mr. Schorr had been taken off the Schorr should have recognized intellgence asignment, not as a short street of the street of disciplinary action, but because of the network's policy of not Mr. Seib saw the issue as one having a reporter cover a mat-

> volvement in the case, and that saying, however, what support this would be made available saying, however, what support it was completed it would give Mr. Schoer over when it was completed.
>
> Mr. Schorr said yesterday material that apepared in The that he believed the Congress Village Voice.

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/02/24: CIA-RDP91-00561R000100090024-6